38

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

## W.P. No. 6464 of 2005

---

**Single Bench:Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Petitioner | : | Budhram Gaure<br>S/o Dashrath Gaure, 32 years, R/o Village Mongrapal, Tehsil- Jagdalpur, Dist : Bastar-(C.G.) |
| | Versus | |
| Respondents | | 1. State of Chhattisgarh<br>Through The Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare Mantralaya, Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.) |
| | | 2. The Chief Executive Ofifcer Janpad Panchayat Bakawand, Dist : Bastar-(C.G.) |
| | | 3. The Additional Collector, Jagdalpur-(C.G.) |
| | | 4. The Sub Divisional Officer Jagdalpur-(C.G.) |
| | | 5. The Dy. Director<br>Panchayat and Social Welfare Jagdalpur, Dist : Bastar-(C.G.) |
| | | 6. The Sarpanch<br>Gram Panchayat Mongrapal Janpad Panchayat Bakawand, Dist : Bastar-(C.G.) |

---

Present: Mr. Prafull Bharat, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/ respondent No. 1, 3, 4 and 5.

---

ORDER

(Passed on 14th Day of March, 2007)

1. Despite service of notice to all the respondents, none appears for respondent No. 2 and 6.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the impugned order dated 22.7.2005 (Annexure P-6) was passed without

following the statutory provisions of law. The petitioner has filed an appeal before Sub-Divisional Officer, Jagdalpur vide Case No. 73/2004-05. The appeal was admitted, but no stay was granted.

3. Against the refusal of stay vide order dated 1.9.2005, the petitioner preferred a revision before the Additional Collector, Jagdalpur. The Additional Collector vide order dated 26.9.2005 (P-8), dismissed the revision against order dated 1.9.2005.

4. Be that as it may, in the interest of justice, when the matter is pending for consideration since 16.8.2005, it is just and proper to direct the Sub-Divisional Officer to consider and decide the appeal filed by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

5. With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash